



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 7.01.58 Intraoperative Neurophysiologic Monitoring

Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2022

Original Policy Date: December 2011

Related Policies:

None

Intraoperative Neurophysiologic Monitoring

Description

Description

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring describes a variety of procedures used to monitor the integrity of neural pathways during high-risk neurosurgical, orthopedic, and vascular surgeries. It involves the detection of electrical signals produced by the nervous system in response to sensory or electrical stimuli to provide information about the functional integrity of neuronal structures. This evidence review does not address established neurophysiologic monitoring (ie, somatosensory-evoked potentials, motor-evoked potentials using transcranial electrical stimulation, brainstem auditory-evoked potentials, electromyography of cranial nerves, electroencephalography, electrocorticography), during spinal, intracranial, or vascular procedures.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether neurophysiologic monitoring improves the net health outcome in patients during surgeries that could damage their recurrent laryngeal nerve or peripheral nerves.

POLICY STATEMENT

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, which includes somatosensory-evoked potentials, motor-evoked potentials using transcranial electrical stimulation, brainstem auditory-evoked potentials, electromyography of cranial nerves, electroencephalography, and electrocorticography, may be considered **medically necessary** during spinal, intracranial, or vascular procedures.

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve may be considered **medically necessary** in patients undergoing:

- high-risk thyroid or parathyroid surgery, including:
 - total thyroidectomy
 - repeat thyroid or parathyroid surgery
 - surgery for cancer
 - thyrotoxicosis
 - retrosternal or giant goiter
 - thyroiditis.
- anterior cervical spine surgery associated with any of the following increased risk situations:
 - prior anterior cervical surgery, particularly revision anterior cervical discectomy and fusion, revision surgery through a scarred surgical field, reoperation for pseudarthrosis, or revision for failed fusion
 - multilevel anterior cervical discectomy and fusion
 - preexisting recurrent laryngeal nerve pathology, when there is residual function of the recurrent laryngeal nerve.

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve during anterior cervical spine surgery not meeting the criteria above or during esophageal surgeries is considered **investigational**.

Intraoperative monitoring of visual-evoked potentials is considered **investigational**.

Due to the lack of monitors approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, intraoperative monitoring of motor-evoked potentials using transcranial magnetic stimulation is considered **investigational**.

Intraoperative electromyography and nerve conduction velocity monitoring during surgery on the peripheral nerves is considered **not medically necessary**.

Note: These policy statements refer only to use of these techniques as part of intraoperative monitoring. Other clinical applications of these techniques, such as visual-evoked potentials and electromyography, are not considered in this policy.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, including somatosensory-evoked potentials and motor-evoked potentials using transcranial electrical stimulation, brainstem auditory-evoked potentials, electromyography of cranial nerves, electroencephalography, and electrocorticography, has broad acceptance, particularly for spine surgery and open abdominal aorta aneurysm repairs. Therefore, this evidence review focuses on monitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve during neck surgeries and monitoring of peripheral nerves.

Constant communication among the surgeon, neurophysiologist, and anesthetist is required for safe and effective intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring is considered reimbursable as a separate service only when a licensed health care practitioner, other than the operating surgeon, interprets the monitoring. The monitoring is performed by a health care practitioner or technician who is in attendance in the operating room throughout the procedure.

Coding for intraoperative monitoring uses time-based codes; they are not based on the number (single vs. multiple) of modalities used.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

A number of EEG and EMG monitors have been cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process.

Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring of motor-evoked potentials using transcranial magnetic stimulation does not have the FDA approval.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are undergoing thyroid or parathyroid surgery and are at high risk of injury to the recurrent laryngeal nerve who receive intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, the evidence includes a large randomized controlled trial (RCT) and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. The strongest evidence on neurophysiologic monitoring derives from a RCT of 1000 patients undergoing thyroid surgery. This RCT found a significant reduction in recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in patients at high-risk for injury. High-risk in this trial was defined as surgery for cancer, thyrotoxicosis, retrosternal or giant goiter, or thyroiditis. The high-risk category may also include patients with prior thyroid or parathyroid surgery or total thyroidectomy. A low volume of surgeries might also contribute to a higher risk for recurrent laryngeal nerve injury. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are undergoing anterior cervical spine surgery and are at high-risk of injury to the recurrent laryngeal nerve who receive intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, the evidence includes 3 systematic reviews of case series and cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. Two of the 3 analyses compared the risk of nerve injury using intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring with no intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring and found no statistically significant difference. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are undergoing esophageal surgery who receive intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, the evidence includes a nonrandomized comparative study. Relevant outcomes are morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. One nonrandomized comparative study on surgery for esophageal cancer was identified. Interpretation of this study is confounded because only those patients who had visual identification of the nerve underwent neurophysiologic monitoring. Current evidence is not sufficiently robust to determine whether neurophysiologic monitoring reduces recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in patients undergoing surgery for esophageal cancer. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are undergoing surgery proximal to a peripheral nerve who receive intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, the evidence includes case series and a controlled cohort study. Relevant outcomes are morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. Surgical guidance with peripheral intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring and the predictive ability of monitoring of peripheral nerves have been reported. No prospective comparative studies were identified that assessed whether outcomes are improved with neurophysiologic monitoring. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in "Supplemental Information" if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

American Academy of Neurology

In 1990 (updated in 2012), the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) published an assessment of intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, with an evidence-based guideline update by the AAN and ACNS in 2012 (guideline last reaffirmed on October 17, 2020).^{1,2} The 1990 assessment indicated that monitoring requires a team approach with a well-trained physician-neurophysiologist to provide or supervise monitoring. Electroencephalogram (EEG) monitoring is used during carotid endarterectomy or for other similar situations in which cerebral blood flow is at high risk. Electrocorticography from surgically exposed cortex can help to define the optimal limits of surgical resection or identify regions of greatest impairment, while sensory cortex somatosensory-evoked potentials can help to localize the central fissure and motor cortex. Auditory-evoked potentials, along with cranial nerve monitoring can be used during posterior fossa neurosurgical procedures. Spinal cord somatosensory-evoked potentials are frequently used to monitor the spinal cord during orthopedic or neurosurgical procedures around the spinal cord, or cross-clamping of the thoracic aorta. Electromyographic monitoring during procedures near the roots and peripheral nerves can be used to warn of excessive traction or other impairment of motor nerves. At the time of the 1990 assessment, motor-evoked potentials were considered investigational by many neurophysiologists. The 2012 update, which was endorsed by the AANEM, concluded that the available evidence supported intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring using somatosensory-evoked potentials or motor-evoked potentials when conducted under the supervision of a clinical neurophysiologist experienced with intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring. Evidence was insufficient to evaluate intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring when conducted by technicians alone or by an automated device.

In 2012, the AAN published a model policy on principles of coding for intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring and testing.²⁵ The background section of this document provides the following information on the value of intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring in averting neural injuries during surgery:

1. "Value of EEG Monitoring in Carotid Surgery. Carotid occlusion, incident to carotid endarterectomies, poses a high-risk for cerebral hemispheric injury. Electroencephalogram (EEG) monitoring is capable of detecting cerebral ischemia, a serious prelude to injury. Studies of continuous monitoring established the ability of electroencephalogram EEG to correctly predict risks of postoperative deficits after a deliberate, but necessary, carotid occlusion as part of the surgical procedure. The surgeon can respond to adverse EEG events by raising blood pressure, implanting a shunt, adjusting a poorly functioning shunt, or performing other interventions.
2. Multicenter Data in Spinal Surgeries. An extensive multicenter study conducted in 1995 demonstrated that [intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring] using [sensory-evoked potentials] reduced the risk of paraplegia by 60% in spinal surgeries. The incidence of false negative cases, wherein an operative complication occurred without having been detected by the monitoring procedure, was small: 0.06%.
3. Technology Assessment of Monitoring in Spinal Surgeries. A technology assessment by the McGill University Health Center...reviewed 11 studies and concluded that spinal [intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring] is capable of substantially reducing injury in surgeries that pose a risk to spinal cord integrity. It recommended combined sensory-evoked potentials/motor-evoked potential monitoring, under the presence or constant availability of a monitoring physician, for all cases of spinal surgery for which there is a risk of spinal cord injury.
4. Value of Combined Motor and Sensory Monitoring. Numerous studies of post-surgical paraparesis and quadriplegia have shown that both sensory-evoked potentials and motor-evoked potential monitoring had predicted adverse outcomes in a timely fashion. The timing of the predictions allowed the surgeons the opportunity to intervene and prevent adverse outcomes. The 2 different techniques (sensory-evoked potentials and motor-evoked potential) monitor different spinal cord tracts. Sometimes, one of the techniques cannot be used for practical purposes, for anesthetic reasons, or because of preoperative absence of signals in those pathways. Thus, the decision about which of these techniques to use needs to be tailored to the individual patient's circumstances.
5. Protecting the Spinal Cord from Ischemia during Aortic Procedures. Studies have shown that [intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring] accurately predicts risks for spinal cord ischemia associated with clamping the aorta or ligating segmental spinal arteries. [intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring] can assess whether the spinal cord is tolerating the degree of relative ischemia in these procedures. The surgeon can then respond by raising blood pressure, implanting a shunt, re-implanting segmental vessels, draining spinal fluid, or through other interventions...
6. Value of EMG [electromyogram] monitoring. Selective posterior rhizotomy in cerebral palsy significantly reduces spasticity, increases range of motion, and improves functional skills. Electromyography during this procedure can assist in selecting specific dorsal roots to transect. Electromyogram (EMG) can also be used in peripheral nerve procedures that pose a risk of injuries to nerves...
7. Value of Spinal Monitoring using somatosensory-evoked potentials and motor-evoked potentials. According to a recent review of spinal monitoring using somatosensory-evoked potential and motor-evoked potentials by the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of AAN and ACNS, [intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring] is established as effective to predict an increased risk of the adverse outcomes of paraparesis, paraplegia, and quadriplegia in spinal surgery (4 Class I and 7 Class II studies). Surgeons and other members of the operating team should be alerted to the increased risk of severe adverse neurologic outcomes in patients with important [intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring] changes (Level A)."

The AAN model policy also offered guidance on personnel and monitoring standards for intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring and somatosensory-evoked potential.

American Association of Neurological Surgeons and Congress of Neurological Surgeons

In 2018, the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) and Congress of Neurological Surgeons updated their position statement on intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring during routine spinal surgery.⁵ They stated that intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring, especially motor evoked potential, "is a reliable diagnostic tool for assessment of spinal cord integrity during surgery" (Level 1 evidence). Intraoperative motor evoked potentials may also "predict recovery in traumatic cervical spinal cord injury." However, AANS and Congress of Neurological Surgeons found no evidence that such monitoring provides a therapeutic benefit. The statement also recommends that intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring should be used when the operating surgeon believes it is warranted for diagnostic value, such as with "deformity correction, spinal instability, spinal cord compression, intradural spinal cord lesions, and when in proximity to peripheral nerves or roots." In addition, they recommend spontaneous and evoked electromyography "for minimally invasive lateral retroperitoneal transpsoas approaches to the lumbar spine" and during pedicle screw insertion.

American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine

In 2019, the American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM) updated their position statement on electrodiagnostic medicine.⁷ The recommendations indicated that intraoperative sensory-evoked potentials have demonstrated usefulness for monitoring of spinal cord, brainstem, and brain sensory tracts. The AANEM stated that intraoperative somatosensory-evoked potential monitoring is indicated for select spine surgeries in which there is a risk of additional nerve root or spinal cord injury. Indications for somatosensory-evoked potential monitoring may include, but are not limited to, complex, extensive, or lengthy procedures, and when mandated by hospital policy. However, intraoperative somatosensory-evoked potential monitoring may not be indicated for routine lumbar or cervical root decompression.

American Clinical Neurophysiology Society

In 2009, the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) recommended standards for intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring.⁴ **Guideline 11A included the following statement²⁶:**

"The monitoring team should be under the direct supervision of a physician with training and experience in neurophysiologic intraoperative monitoring. The monitoring physician should be licensed in the state and privileged to interpret neurophysiologic testing in the hospital in which the surgery is being performed. He/she is responsible for real-time interpretation of neurophysiologic intraoperative monitoring data. The monitoring physician should be present in the operating room or have access to intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring data in real-time from a remote location and be in communication with the staff in the operating room. There are many methods of remote monitoring, however any method used must conform to local and national protected health information guidelines. The specifics of this availability (ie, types of surgeries) should be decided by the hospital credentialing committee. In order to devote the needed attention, it is recommended that the monitoring physician interpret no more than three cases concurrently."

American Society of Neurophysiological Monitoring

In 2018, the American Society of Neurophysiological Monitoring (ASNM) published practice guidelines for the supervising professional on intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring.¹⁶ The ASNM (2013) position statement on intraoperative motor-evoked potential monitoring indicated that motor-evoked potentials are an established practice option for cortical and subcortical mapping and monitoring during surgeries risking motor injury in the brain, brainstem, spinal cord, or facial nerve.²⁷

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2008, a guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence on intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring during thyroid surgery found no major safety concerns.²⁸ Regarding efficacy, intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring was indicated as helpful "in performing more complex operations such as reoperative surgery and operations on large thyroid glands."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services has indicated that EEG monitoring "may be covered routinely in carotid endarterectomies and in other neurological procedures where cerebral perfusion could be reduced. Such other procedures might include aneurysm surgery where hypotensive anesthesia is used or other cerebral vascular procedures where cerebral blood flow may be interrupted."²⁹ Coverage determinations for other modalities were not identified.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Physician Fee Schedule Final Rule (2013) discussed payment of neurophysiologic monitoring. The rule states that CPT code 95940, which is reported when a physician monitors a patient directly, is payable by Medicare. CPT code 95941, which is used for remote monitoring, was made invalid for submission to Medicare.

In the Final Rule, the Centers established a HCPCS G code (see Policy Guidelines section) for reporting physician monitoring performed from outside of the operating room (nearby or remotely). HCPCS code G0453 "may be billed only for undivided attention by the monitoring physician to a single beneficiary [1:1 technologist to oversight physician billing], and not for simultaneous attention by the monitoring physician to more than one patient."³⁰

REFERENCES

1. Assessment: intraoperative neurophysiology. Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. Nov 1990; 40(11): 1644-6. PMID 2234418
2. Nuwer MR, Emerson RG, Galloway G, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: intraoperative spinal monitoring with somatosensory and transcranial electrical motor evoked potentials: report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society. *Neurology*. Feb 21 2012; 78(8): 585-9. PMID 22351796
3. Skinner SA, Cohen BA, Morledge DE, et al. Practice guidelines for the supervising professional: intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring. *J Clin Monit Comput*. Apr 2014; 28(2): 103-11. PMID 24022172
4. American Clinical Neurophysiology Society. ACNS Guidelines and Consensus Statements. Updated January 18, 2022. <http://www.acns.org/practice/guidelines>. Accessed March 2, 2022.
5. American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS)/Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS). Joint Section on Disorders of the Spine and Peripheral Nerves updated position statement: intraoperative electrophysiological monitoring. January 2018. <https://www.spinesection.org/statement-detail/intraoperative-electrophysiological-monitoring>. Accessed March 3, 2022.
6. Resnick DK, Choudhri TF, Dailey AT, et al. Guidelines for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 15: electrophysiological monitoring and lumbar fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine*. Jun 2005; 2(6): 725-32. PMID 16028743
7. American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine. Position Statement: Recommended Policy for Electrodiagnostic Medicine. 2019; <https://www.aanem.org/Advocacy/Position-Statements/Recommended-Policy-for-Electrodiagnostic-Medicine>. Accessed March 3, 2022.
8. Henry BM, Graves MJ, Vikse J, et al. The current state of intermittent intraoperative neural monitoring for prevention of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury during thyroidectomy: a PRISMA-compliant systematic review of overlapping meta-analyses. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. Jun 2017; 402(4): 663-673. PMID 28378238
9. Pisanu A, Porceddu G, Podda M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis of studies comparing intraoperative neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerves versus visualization alone during thyroidectomy. *J Surg Res*. May 01 2014; 188(1): 152-61. PMID 24433869
10. Sun W, Liu J, Zhang H, et al. A meta-analysis of intraoperative neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy during thyroid reoperations. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. Nov 2017; 87(5): 572-580. PMID 28585717
11. Pardal-Refoyo JL, Ochoa-Sangrador C. Bilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in total thyroidectomy with or without intraoperative neuromonitoring. Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp*. Mar-Apr 2016; 67(2): 66-74. PMID 26025358
12. Barczynski M, Konturek A, Cichon S. Randomized clinical trial of visualization versus neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerves during thyroidectomy. *Br J Surg*. Mar 2009; 96(3): 240-6. PMID 19177420
13. Vasileiadis I, Karatzas T, Charitoudis G, et al. Association of Intraoperative Neuromonitoring With Reduced Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve Injury in Patients Undergoing Total Thyroidectomy. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. Oct 01 2016; 142(10): 994-1001. PMID 27490310
14. Ajiboye RM, Zoller SD, Sharma A, et al. Intraoperative Neuromonitoring for Anterior Cervical Spine Surgery: What Is the Evidence?. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Mar 15 2017; 42(6): 385-393. PMID 27390917
15. Erwood MS, Hadley MN, Gordon AS, et al. Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury following reoperative anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: a meta-analysis. *J Neurosurg Spine*. Aug 2016; 25(2): 198-204. PMID 27015129
16. Daniel JW, Botelho RV, Milano JB, et al. Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring in Spine Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Aug 2018; 43(16): 1154-1160. PMID 30063222
17. Zhong D, Zhou Y, Li Y, et al. Intraoperative recurrent laryngeal nerve monitoring: a useful method for patients with esophageal cancer. *Dis Esophagus*. Jul 2014; 27(5): 444-51. PMID 23020300
18. Kneist W, Kauff DW, Juhre V, et al. Is intraoperative neuromonitoring associated with better functional outcome in patients undergoing open TME? Results of a case-control study. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. Sep 2013; 39(9): 994-9. PMID 23810330
19. Kneist W, Kauff DW, Rubenwolf P, et al. Intraoperative monitoring of bladder and internal anal sphincter innervation: a predictor of erectile function following low anterior rectal resection for rectal cancer? Results of a prospective clinical study. *Dig Surg*. 2013; 30(4-6): 459-65. PMID 24481247

20. Clarkson JHW, Ozyurekolu T, Mujadzic M, et al. An evaluation of the information gained from the use of intraoperative nerve recording in the management of suspected brachial plexus root avulsion. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* Mar 2011; 127(3): 1237-1243. PMID 21364425
21. Zhang W, Chen M, Zhang W, et al. Use of electrophysiological monitoring in selective rhizotomy treating glossopharyngeal neuralgia. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg.* Jul 2014; 42(5): e182-5. PMID 24095216
22. Ochs BC, Herzka A, Yaylali I. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring of somatosensory evoked potentials during hip arthroscopy surgery. *Neurodiagn J.* Dec 2012; 52(4): 312-9. PMID 23301281
23. Jahangiri FR. Multimodality neurophysiological monitoring during tibial/fibular osteotomies for preventing peripheral nerve injuries. *Neurodiagn J.* Jun 2013; 53(2): 153-68. PMID 23833842
24. Nagda SH, Rogers KJ, Sestokas AK, et al. Neer Award 2005: Peripheral nerve function during shoulder arthroplasty using intraoperative nerve monitoring. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* May-Jun 2007; 16(3 Suppl): S2-8. PMID 17493556
25. American Academy of Neurology. Model Coverage Policy: Principles of Coding for Intraoperative Neurophysiologic Monitoring (IOM) and Testing. 2012; https://www.aan.com/siteassets/home-page/tools-and-resources/practicing-neurologist-administrators/billing-and-coding/model-coverage-policies/16iommodelpolicy_tr.pdf. Accessed March 3, 2022.
26. American Clinical Neurophysiology Society. Guideline 11A: Recommended Standards for Neurophysiologic Intraoperative Monitoring Principles. 2009; <https://www.acns.org/pdf/guidelines/Guideline-11A.pdf>. Accessed March 3, 2022.
27. Macdonald DB, Skinner S, Shils J, et al. Intraoperative motor evoked potential monitoring - a position statement by the American Society of Neurophysiological Monitoring. *Clin Neurophysiol.* Dec 2013; 124(12): 2291-316. PMID 24055297
28. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Intraoperative nerve monitoring during thyroid surgery [IPG255]. 2008; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg255/chapter/1-guidance>. Accessed March 3, 2022.
29. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Electroencephalographic Monitoring During Surgical Procedures Involving the Cerebral Vasculature (160.8). 2006; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=77&ncdver=2&CoverageSelection=National&Keyword=monitoring&KeywordLookUp=Title&KeywordLookUp=Title&KeywordSearchType=And&KeywordSearchType=And&KeywordSearchType=And&bc=gAAAACAAAAA&>. Accessed March 3, 2022.
30. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Billing Medicare for Remote Intraoperative Neurophysiology Monitoring in CY 2013. Updated September 2020; <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/PhysicianFeeSched/Downloads/FAQ-Remote-IONM.pdf>. Accessed March 3, 2022.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2011	New policy	
March 2013	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; references added and reordered; policy statements unchanged.
September 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 10-14, 16-18, 22, 24, and 25 added; policy statements unchanged.
September 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; references 12, 13, 15, and 22 added; policy statements unchanged.
June 2017	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 11, 2016; references added and some references removed. Intraoperative monitoring is considered medically necessary for high risk thyroid and anterior cervical spine surgeries. Title changed to "Intraoperative Neurophysiologic Monitoring.€
June 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 23, 2018; references 8, 10, and 14 added; references 6-7 updated. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 18, 2019; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 11, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

Date	Action	Description
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 2, 2021; no references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 3, 2022; no references added. Policy statement on intraoperative electromyography and nerve conduction velocity monitoring during surgery on the peripheral nerves changed from "not medically necessary" to "investigational"; intent unchanged. Policy statements otherwise unchanged.