

FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 7.01.168 Cryoablation, Radiofrequency Ablation, and Laser Ablation for Treatment of Chronic Rhinitis

Annual Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2024

Original Policy Date: January 2022

Related Policies:

7.01.105 - Balloon Ostial Dilation for Treatment of Chronic and Recurrent Acute Rhinosinusitis

Cryoablation, Radiofrequency Ablation, and Laser Ablation for Treatment of Chronic Rhinitis

Description

Description

Chronic rhinitis is a common medical condition that encompasses allergic rhinitis, nonallergic rhinitis, and mixed rhinitis and can severely impact quality of life. The initial treatment for chronic rhinitis often involves medical management with pharmacotherapy that may include steroids, anticholinergics, nasal decongestants, and antihistamines. For individuals who do not attain improvement in chronic rhinitis symptoms after receiving adequate medical therapy (referred to as refractory chronic rhinitis), invasive surgical options to block posterior nasal nerve may be considered. Historically, vidian neurectomy which targets the vidian nerve was offered for refractory rhinitis. Although vidian neurectomy was shown to be effective in reducing symptoms like rhinorrhea, it is associated with side effects of cheek and palate numbness and dry eyes (in nearly 50% of cases, ranging between 35 to 72%). In an effort to improve on complications of vidian neurectomy such as xerophthalmia, interventions that specifically target the posterior nasal nerve branches of the vidian nerve have been developed. These interventions range from surgical ablation of the post-ganglionic posterior nasal nerve to minimally invasive options of cryotherapy, radiofrequency, or laser ablation of the nerve. These minimally invasive procedures can be performed under endoscopy. The efficacy of ablation of posterior nasal nerve is thought to result from the interruption of efferent parasympathetic stimulation of the nasal mucosa, which leads to reduction in submucosal gland secretions and blood flow.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine if the use of cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation, and laser ablation improves the net health outcome in individuals with chronic rhinitis refractory to medical management.

POLICY STATEMENT

Cryoablation for chronic rhinitis (allergic or nonallergic) is considered investigational.

Radiofrequency ablation for chronic rhinitis (allergic or nonallergic) is considered investigational.

Laser ablation for chronic rhinitis (allergic and nonallergic) is considered investigational.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

In February 2019, the ClariFix™ device (Stryker) was cleared for use in adults with chronic rhinitis by the FDA through the 510(k) process (K190356). Clearance was based on substantial equivalence to the predicate device, ClariFix (K162608). The only modification to the subject device was an update to the indications for use to include adults with chronic rhinitis. As per the FDA 510K summary, the ClariFix device is intended to be used as a cryosurgical tool for the destruction of unwanted tissue during surgical procedures, including in adults with chronic rhinitis.

In December 2019, the RhinAer™ stylus (Aerin Medical) was cleared by the FDA through the 510(k) process as a tool to treat chronic rhinitis (K192471).⁸, Clearance was based on equivalence in design and intended use of a predicate device, the InSeca ARC Stylus™ (K162810). The RhinAer stylus includes modification of the InSeca ARC stylus shaft components and flexibility. As per the FDA 510K summary, the RhinAer is indicated for use in otorhinolaryngology surgery for the destruction of soft tissue in the nasal airway, including in posterior nasal nerve regions in patients with chronic rhinitis.

There are currently no laser ablation devices with FDA clearance for treatment of chronic rhinitis.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals with chronic rhinitis who receive cryoablation, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial (RCT) and nonrandomized studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One RCT that compared cryoablation using the ClariFix device with a sham procedure showed a statistical significant difference in response rate in favor of cryoablation group compared to the sham group. However, it is unclear if the trial enrolled individuals with chronic rhinitis who were refractory to medical management. This limitation precludes meaningful interpretation of these results as the intended use of ClariFix device is for individuals with chronic rhinitis who are refractory to medical management. Three single-arm prospective studies evaluated efficacy and safety of cryoablation for patients with chronic rhinitis. Out of the 3, 2 studies enrolled individuals who were refractory to medical management. The definition of refractory varied from symptoms not adequately controlled with a minimum of 4 weeks of topical nasal steroid treatment or failure of medical therapy for a duration of at least 3 months. Although all 3 single arm studies reported improvement in symptom control, the major limitation is lack of a comparator group and open-label nature of the study design, which likely introduces biases. Additionally, loss to follow-up was high. Randomized controlled trials with a clearly defined refractory patient population directly comparing cryoablation with sham surgery or other surgical interventions are needed to confirm the efficacy of cryoablation for treatment of chronic rhinitis. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with chronic rhinitis refractory to medical management who receive radiofrequency ablation, the evidence includes an RCT and nonrandomized studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One RCT that compared radiofrequency using the RhinAer device with a sham procedure showed a statistical significant difference in response rate in favor of radiofrequency ablation group compared to the sham group. However, it is unclear if the trial enrolled individuals with chronic rhinitis who were refractory to medical management. This limitation precludes meaningful interpretation of these results as the intended use of RhinAer device is for individuals with chronic rhinitis who are refractory to medical management. Two single-arm prospective studies evaluated efficacy and safety of radiofrequency ablation for patients with chronic rhinitis. Out of the 2, 1 study enrolled individuals who were refractory to medical management. Although both single arm studies reported improvement in symptom control, the major limitation is lack of a comparator group and open-label nature of the study design, which likely introduces biases. Randomized controlled trials with a clearly defined refractory patient population directly comparing radiofrequency with sham surgery or other surgical interventions are needed to confirm the efficacy of radiofrequency ablation for treatment of chronic rhinitis. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with allergic or nonallergic chronic rhinitis who receive laser ablation, the evidence includes one nonrandomized study. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Although the single-arm prospective study reported improvement in symptom control, the major limitation is lack of a comparator group and open-label nature of the study design, which likely introduces biases. In addition, the authors did not define how study participants were classified as refractory to medical management. Randomized controlled trials with a clearly defined refractory patient population directly comparing laser ablation with sham surgery or other surgical interventions are needed to confirm the efficacy of radiofrequency ablation for treatment of chronic rhinitis. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology

The 2023 International Consensus Statement on Allergy and Rhinology stated the following for cryotherapy/radiofrequency ablation of posterior nasal nerve. ²⁰,

- Aggregate grade of evidence: C (Level 3: 2 studies, level 4: 4 studies, level 5: 5 studies)
- · Benefit: Improvement in rhinorrhea.
- · Harm: Risk of complications (e.g., epistaxis, temporary facial pain and swelling, headaches), limited long-term results.
- Cost: Surgical/procedural costs, cost of device, potential time off from work.
- Benefits-harm assessment: Potential benefit must be balanced with low risk of harm, especially considering limited long-term results.
- Value judgments: Patients may experience an improvement in symptoms.
- · Policy level: Option.
- Intervention: Cryoablation and radiofrequency ablation of the posterior nasal nerve may be considered in allergic rhinitis patients that have failed medical management, particularly for rhinorrhea.

Grade of evidence "C" implies that body of evidence consisted of observational studies (case control and cohort design). Policy level "Option" implies "either that the evidence quality that exists is suspect or that well-designed, well conducted studies have demonstrated little clear advantage to one approach versus another. Options offer clinicians flexibility in their decision-making regarding appropriate practice, although they may set boundaries on alternatives. Patient preference should have a substantial role in influencing clinical decision-making, particularly when policies are expressed as options." As per the consensus statement, "because the current evidence is primarily based on industry-sponsored studies with limited long-term data, these office-based interventions remain an option for properly selected patients".

American Academy of Otolaryngology

In January 2023, the American Academy of Otolaryngology issued a position statement on peripheral nerve ablation for the treatment of chronic rhinitis. ²¹, The position statement was not based on a systematic review or strength of evidence rating. According to the position statement, "Based on these safety and efficacy data, the American Academy of Otolaryngology endorses the use of posterior nasal nerve ablation for the treatment of medically-refractory chronic rhinitis. We do not consider these treatments to be experimental."

American Rhinologic Society

In January 2022, the American Rhinologic Society issued a position paper on posterior nasal nerve ablation. ^{22,} The position statement was not based on a systematic review or strength of evidence rating. According to the position statement, "The American Rhinologic Society supports the use of posterior nasal nerve ablation for the treatment of chronic rhinitis, including both allergic and non-allergic subtypes. This procedure should not be considered experimental, but should be considered as an effective option in treating chronic rhinitis and improving patient quality of life in those suffering from rhinorrhea and nasal congestion based on the following data."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

- 1. Settipane RA, Charnock DR. Epidemiology of rhinitis: allergic and nonallergic. Clin Allergy Immunol. 2007; 19: 23-34. PMID 17153005
- 2. Lieberman P, Kaliner MA, Wheeler WJ. Open-label evaluation of azelastine nasal spray in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis and nonallergic vasomotor rhinitis. Curr Med Res Opin. Apr 2005; 21(4): 611-8. PMID 15899111
- 3. Halderman A, Sindwani R. Surgical management of vasomotor rhinitis: a systematic review. Am J Rhinol Allergy. 2015; 29(2): 128-34. PMID 25785754
- 4. Marshak T, Yun WK, Hazout C, et al. A systematic review of the evidence base for vidian neurectomy in managing rhinitis. J Laryngol Otol. Jul 2016; 130 Suppl 4: S7-S28. PMID 27488341
- 5. Senanayake P, Wong E, McBride K, et al. Efficacy of Vidian Neurectomy and Posterior Nasal Neurectomy in the Management of Nonallergic Rhinitis: A Systematic Review. Am J Rhinol Allergy. Nov 2022; 36(6): 849-871. PMID 35695191
- 6. Zubair A, Lasrado S. Vidian Neurectomy. [Updated 2023 May 14]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK563142/]
- 7. Food & Drug Administration. Clarifix 510(k) Premarket Notification. 2019 (K190356). https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf19/K190356.pdf. Accessed February 11, 2024.
- 8. Food & Drug Administration. RhinAer (RHIN1 Stylus) 510(k) Premarket Notification. 2019 (K192471). Accessed December 18, 2023.
- 9. Del Signore AG, Greene JB, Russell JL, et al. Cryotherapy for treatment of chronic rhinitis: 3-month outcomes of a randomized, sham-controlled trial. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. Jan 2022; 12(1): 51-61. PMID 34355872
- 10. Hwang PH, Lin B, Weiss R, et al. Cryosurgical posterior nasal tissue ablation for the treatment of rhinitis. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. Oct 2017; 7(10): 952-956. PMID 28799727
- 11. Chang MT, Song S, Hwang PH. Cryosurgical ablation for treatment of rhinitis: A prospective multicenter study. Laryngoscope. Aug 2020; 130(8): 1877-1884. PMID 31566744
- 12. Ow RA, O'Malley EM, Han JK, et al. Cryosurgical Ablation for Treatment of Rhinitis: Two-Year Results of a Prospective Multicenter Study. Laryngoscope. Sep 2021; 131(9): 1952-1957. PMID 33616224
- 13. Gerka Stuyt JA, Luk L, Keschner D, et al. Evaluation of In-Office Cryoablation of Posterior Nasal Nerves for the Treatment of Rhinitis. Allergy Rhinol (Providence). 2021; 12: 2152656720988565. PMID 33598336
- 14. Stolovitzky JP, Ow RA, Silvers SL, et al. Effect of Radiofrequency Neurolysis on the Symptoms of Chronic Rhinitis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. OTO Open. 2021; 5(3): 2473974X211041124. PMID 34527852
- 15. Takashima M, Stolovitzky JP, Ow RA, et al. Temperature-controlled radiofrequency neurolysis for treatment of chronic rhinitis: 12-month outcomes after treatment in a randomized controlled trial. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. Feb 2023; 13(2): 107-115. PMID 35714267
- 16. Lee JT, Abbas GM, Charous DD, et al. Clinical and Quality of Life Outcomes Following Temperature-Controlled Radiofrequency Neurolysis of the Posterior Nasal Nerve (RhinAer) for Treatment of Chronic Rhinitis. Am J Rhinol Allergy. Nov 2022; 36(6): 747-754. PMID 35818709

- 17. Ehmer D, McDuffie CM, Scurry WC, et al. Temperature-Controlled Radiofrequency Neurolysis for the Treatment of Rhinitis. Am J Rhinol Allergy. Jan 2022; 36(1): 149-156. PMID 34382444
- 18. Ehmer D, McDuffie CM, McIntyre JB, et al. Long-term Outcomes Following Temperature-Controlled Radiofrequency Neurolysis for the Treatment of Chronic Rhinitis. Allergy Rhinol (Providence). 2022; 13: 21526575221096045. PMID 35663498
- 19. Krespi YP, Wilson KA, Kizhner V. Laser ablation of posterior nasal nerves for rhinitis. Am J Otolaryngol. 2020; 41(3): 102396. PMID 31948695
- 20. Wise SK, Damask C, Roland LT, et al. International consensus statement on allergy and rhinology: Allergic rhinitis 2023. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. Apr 2023; 13(4): 293-859. PMID 36878860
- 21. American Academy of Otolaryngology. Position Statement: PNN ablation for the treatment of chronic rhinitis. January 2023. Accessed February 11, 2024.
- 22. American Rhinologic Society. Posterior Nasal Nerve Ablation ARS Position Statement. January 2022. Accessed February 11, 2024.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2021	New policy	Policy created with literature review through August 3, 2021. Cryoablation for chronic rhinitis is considered investigational.
June 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through December 30, 2021. New indications for radiofrequency ablation and laser ablation for chronic rhinitis added and are considered investigational. Title changed to Cryoablation, Radiofrequency Ablation, and Laser Ablation for Treatment of Chronic Rhinitis
June 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through December 7, 2022; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2024	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through December 18, 2023; references added. Policy was extensively edited to improve clarity that included changing the comparator from "medical management" to "other surgical procedures". Policy statements unchanged.